

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATI	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/475,269	12/30/1999	AYMAN BEDAIR	03384-0364	6151	
75	90 12/1	06	EXAM	INER	
Docket Clerk PO Drawer 800889			HARPER,	HARPER, KEVIN C	
Dallas, TX 75			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2616	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
		•	DATE MAILED: 12/13/200	6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			لزي
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/475,269	BEDAIR ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	•,
	Kevin C. Harper	2616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. , a reply within the statutory minimum of this period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	02 October 2006. This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for al closed in accordance with the practice un	•	•	s is
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 and 20-33 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-14 and 20-32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 15 and 33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and continuous co	thdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exact 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the county of the oath or declaration is objected to by the specification is objected to by the Exact 10).	accepted or b) objected to the drawing (s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document of the copies of the priority document of the certified copies of the copies of the application from the International Between the copies of the attached detailed Office action for the certified copies of the certified copies of the copies of the certified copies of th	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been sureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	•

Art Unit: 2616

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 2, 2006 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Constantin et al. (US 6,198,725).

Response to Amendment

The affidavit filed on October 2, 2006 under 37 CFR 1.131 is sufficient to overcome the Ma reference under 35 U.S.C. 102(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claims 1 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantin et al. (US 6,198,725) in view of Daniel et al. (US 5,726,985).

1. Regarding claims 1 and 20, Constantin discloses a method of adapting a network to maintain a Quality of Service level in the network (col. 3, lines 7-13). The method comprises the steps of identifying and measuring a parameter after the packet has been transmitted across a network (fig. 4, steps 108 and 112; col. 1, lines 32-39; fig. 1), and enabling optimization of the network bandwidth when the measured parameter differs from a predetermined value (fig. 4, step 114; col. 6, line 63 through col. 7, line 11). Further regarding claim 20, Constantin discloses an apparatus (fig. 1) comprising a parameter identifying mechanism, a parameter measuring device and an optimization enabling device (items 22; col. 7, lines 30-45; fig. 4).

2. However, Constantin does not disclose adapting a PBX network. Daniel discloses a PBX used in a packet network (fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have a PBX in the invention of Constantin in order to provide packet connectivity among telephone users (Daniel, col. 10, lines 40-47 and 49-54; col. 1, lines 49-52).

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantin et al. (US 6,198,725) in view of Daniel et al. (US 5,726,985) and Thorson (US 4,440,986).

- 3. Constantin in view of Daniel discloses a method of (and apparatus for) adapting a PBX network as noted in the rejection of claims 1 and 20 above. However, Constantin in view of Daniel does not disclose first and second PBX cabinets. Thorson discloses a cabinet for a PBX (col. 4, lines 53-60). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have a cabinet for a PBX in the invention of Constantin in view of Daniel in order to provide a physical housing for the components of a PBX as is known in the art.
- 4. Still further, Constantin does not specifically disclose a register for storing a measured parameter. Daniel discloses storing a parameter in a register (col. 22, lines 13-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to store a measured parameter in a register in the invention of Constantin in order to use the parameter in a subsequent calculation as is known in the art.

Claims 3, 6-8, 21 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantin in view of Daniel, as applied to claim 1 or 20 above, in further view of Yamato et al. (US 5,694,390).

Application/Control Number: 09/475,269

Art Unit: 2616

- 5. Regarding claims 3 and 21, Constantin in view of Daniel does not disclose measuring a sequence number associate with a packet. Yamato discloses measuring a sequence number of successive packets (col. 25, lines 62-66). The sequence is associated with stored data packets (col. 8, line 63 through col. 9, line 4). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to measure a sequence number in the invention of Constantin in view of Daniel in order to determine a utilization level in a network (Yamato, col. 26, lines 4-8).
- 6. Regarding claims 6 and 24, Constantin does not specifically disclose a register for storing a measured parameter. Daniel discloses storing a parameter in a register (col. 22, lines 13-20). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to store a measured parameter in a register in the invention of Constantin in order to use the parameter in a subsequent calculation as is known in the art.
- 7. Regarding claims 7-8 and 25-26, the limitations of these claims have been addressed in the rejection of claim 3 or 21 above.

Claims 4-5 and 22-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantin in view of Daniel, as applied to claim 1 or 20 above, in further view of Campbell et al. (US 2003/0140159).

8. Regarding claims 4-5 and 22-23, Constantin in view of Daniel does not disclose measuring differences in packet arrival times for round trip packets. Campbell discloses measuring difference in arrival times for round trip packets (para. 136 and 139; para. 130 and para. 132, last four lines). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to measure packet arrival times for round trip packets in the

Application/Control Number: 09/475,269

Art Unit: 2616

invention of Constantin in view of Daniel in order to detect a utilization level within a network (Campbell, para. 136).

Claims 9-13 and 27-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantin in view of Daniel and Yamato, as applied to claim 8 or 26 above, in further view of Geagan, III et al. (US 6,263,371).

- 9. Regarding claims 9-10 and 27-28, Constantin in view of Daniel and Yamato does not disclose incrementing a packet counter as claimed. Geagan discloses incrementing a counter by one to keep track of the sequence of incoming packets and incrementing a counter by more than one if a packet is lost (abstract; fig. 3 and fig. 6, steps 78 and 84-90). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to keep track of a sequence of packets using a counter in the invention of Constantin in view of Daniel and Yamato in order to properly convey the real-time information within received packets (Geagan, col. 2, lines 38-42).
- 10. Regarding claims 11-13 and 29-31, in Constantin the optimization is static by limiting the number of channels on a network and the optimization is adaptive (fig. 8, "Reject Bandwidth Request; fig. 9A, "Update the Total Bandwidth Allocation and Available Bandwidth", "Take Measures to Enforce the Service Contract Agreements" and "Release a Block of 'Borrowed' Bandwidth to the ATM interface").

Claims 14 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Constantin in view of Daniel, Yamato and Geagan, as applied to claim 14 or 29 above, in further view of Thorson (US 4,440,986).

11. Regarding claims 14 and 32, the combination of references does not disclose a PBX cabinet having cards. Thorson discloses a cabinet for a PBX having cards (col. 4, lines 53-60).

Application/Control Number: 09/475,269

Art Unit: 2616

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have a cabinet for a PBX in the invention of Constantin in view of Daniel in order to provide a physical housing for the components of a PBX as is known in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claims 15 and 33 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Harper whose telephone number is 571-272-3166. The examiner can normally be reached weekdays from 11:00 AM to 7:00 PM ET.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Doris To, can be reached at 571-272-7629. The centralized fax number for the Patent Office is 571-273-8300. For non-official communications, the examiner's personal fax number is 571-273-3166 and the examiner's e-mail address is kevin.harper@uspto.gov.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications associated with a customer number is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see portal uspto gov. Should you have questions on

Art Unit: 2616

access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin C. Harper

December 10, 2006